

LECTURE NOTES 5

ARIEL M. VIALE

1. THE MARTINGALE APPROACH TO ASSET PRICING IN CONTINUOUS TIME

1.1. Money market state price deflator. Let $r(t)$ be the instantaneous risk-free rate at date t such that $R(t) \equiv R(0) e^{\int_0^t r(s) ds}$. Thus, by Ito's lemma $\frac{dR}{R} = r(t) dt$ given $R(0) = 1$. Assuming there exists a SDF to price contingent claims at date T , then the price of any random payoff \tilde{X} received at date T can be appropriately deflated to make it driftless i.e., a martingale. Define the money market state price deflator,

$$1 \times M(t) \equiv E \left[e^{\int_t^T r(s) ds} \tilde{\phi} \right], \quad \text{where } \tilde{\phi} = M(T).$$

Claim. i) Price at time $t = 0$ of any random payoff \tilde{X} at date $t \leq T$ is $P_0(\tilde{X}) = E_0 \left[M(t) \tilde{X} \right]$; ii) Price at date $t \leq T$ of any random payoff \tilde{X} received at time T is $P_t(\tilde{X}) = E_t \left[\frac{M(T)}{M(t)} \tilde{X} \right] = \frac{1}{M(t)} E_t \left[M(T) \tilde{X} \right]$.

Proof. i) Price at time $t = 0$ of receiving \tilde{X} at time $t \leq T$ is equal to the price at time $t = 0$ of receiving $e^{\int_t^T r(s) ds} \tilde{X}$ at T , which is equal to $E_0 \left[M(T) e^{\int_t^T r(s) ds} \tilde{X} \right] = E_0 \left[E_t \left\{ M(T) e^{\int_t^T r(s) ds} \tilde{X} \right\} \right]$ by the law of iterated expectations. Thus,

$$E_0 \left[\tilde{X} E_t \left\{ M(T) e^{\int_t^T r(s) ds} \right\} \right] = E_0 \left[\tilde{X} M(t) \right] \text{ as required.}$$

ii) Let $\tilde{\phi}_{t,T} = SDF$ at date t for contingent claims with maturity T , meaning price at t of receiving \tilde{X} at T is equal to $E_t \left[\tilde{\phi}_{t,T} \tilde{X} \right] = E \left[M(t) E_t \left[\tilde{\phi}_{t,T} \tilde{X} \right] \right] = E \left[E_t \left[M(t) \tilde{\phi}_{t,T} \tilde{X} \right] \right] = E \left[M(t) \tilde{\phi}_{t,T} \tilde{X} \right]$, by law of iterated expectations. Recall that $M(t) \tilde{\phi}_{t,T} = SDF$ at time $t = 0$, hence its equal to $M(T) \Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_{t,T} = \frac{M(T)}{M(t)}$ as required. \square

1.2. Equivalent martingale measure. From 1.1. is clear that we only need to know $M(t, T)$ to price assets. Let $\frac{dS}{S} = \mu dt + \sigma dB$, $\frac{dV}{V} = (\mu + q) dt + \sigma dB$, and $M(t) = e^{r(T-t)} E \left[\tilde{\phi} \right]$ with $\tilde{\phi} = M(T)$. Then,

Claim. 1. $M(t) = e^{r(T-t)} Y(t)$, where $Y(t)$ is a martingale.

Proof. $\forall t < u$, $Y(u) = E_u \left[\tilde{\phi} \right] \Rightarrow E_t \left[Y(u) \right] = E_t \left[E_u \left[\tilde{\phi} \right] \right] = E_t \left[\tilde{\phi} \right] = Y(t)$ as required. \square

Claim. 2. The deflated process MV is a martingale.

Proof. $E_t [M(u) V(u)] = E_t [M(u) e^{qu} S(u)] = e^{qu} E_t [M(u) S(u)] = \frac{1}{M(t)} E_t [M(u) S(u)] = e^{-q(u-t)} S(t) =$ price at time t of getting $S(u)$ at time $u > t \Rightarrow e^{qu} E_t [M(u) S(u)] = e^{qt} M(t) S(t) = M(t) V(t)$ as required. \square

Claim. 3. $\frac{dY}{Y} = -\theta dB$ for some stochastic process θ .

Proof. (Martingale representation theorem) Assume $d \log Y = -\frac{1}{2} \theta^2 dt - \theta dB \Rightarrow \log Y(t) = \log Y(0) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \theta^2(t) dt - \int_0^t \theta(t) dB(t)$

$\Rightarrow Y(T) = Y(0) e^{-\int_0^T \frac{1}{2} \theta^2(t) dt - \int_0^T \theta(t) dB(t)}$. Recall that $M(T) = Y(T)$ and $Y(0) = E[\tilde{\phi}]$.

Thus, $M(t) = e^{r(T-t)} Y(t) = e^{rT} e^{-rt} Y(t) \Rightarrow \frac{dM}{M} = -r dt + \frac{dY}{Y}$ by Ito's lemma. That is, $\frac{dM}{M} = -r dt - \theta dB$.

The deflated process satisfies,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(MV)}{MV} &= \frac{dM}{M} + \frac{dV}{V} + \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dV}{V}\right), \quad \text{by Ito's lemma} \\ &= (-r + \mu + q - \theta\sigma) dt - \theta dB + \sigma dB \\ &\Rightarrow -r + \mu + q - \theta\sigma = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because MV is a martingale by claim #2 i.e., it is a driftless process and, \square

Definition 1. (Market price of risk) $\theta \equiv \frac{\mu+q-r}{\sigma}$ is the unique market price of risk (Sharpe's ratio on stocks).

1.3. Feynman-Kac solution.

Proposition. The unique SDF is the Feynman-Kac solution to the PDE of the deflated stochastic process,

$$(1.1) \quad M(T) = e^{-rT - \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 T - \theta B(T)}.$$

Example. Price of a call at date $t = 0 \Rightarrow C(0) = E[M(T)(0, S(T) - K)^+]$, where $M(T) = e^{-\int_0^T r(s) ds}$ is the Feynman-Kac solution to the BSM PDE (3).

1.4. Fundamental Asset Pricing Equation. Let $M(t) = E_t \left[e^{\int_t^T r(s) ds} \tilde{\phi} \right]$ be the state price deflator, V is the price of a non-dividend paying portfolio, so that the deflated process MV is a martingale. Set $M(t) = e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds} E_t \left[e^{\int_0^T r(s) ds} \tilde{\phi} \right]$, and define $Y(t) \equiv E_t \left[e^{\int_0^T r(s) ds} \tilde{\phi} \right]$ so that $M(t) = e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds} Y(t)$. Applying Ito's lemma twice we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM}{M} &= -r dt + \frac{dY}{Y}, \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{d(MV)}{MV} &= \frac{dM}{M} + \frac{dV}{V} + \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dV}{V}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which has no drift. Notice that $\frac{dV}{V}$ does have drift (expected return) and,

$$0 = \frac{d(MV)}{MV} = (\mu + q - r) dt + \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dV}{V}\right),$$

$$(1.2) \quad (\mu + q - r) dt = - \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dV}{V}\right).$$

Example. For a static one-period discrete asset pricing model we show that

$$\text{risk premium} = -R_f \text{cov} \left(\tilde{R}_i, \tilde{\phi} \right).$$

Example. For the BSM model, $\theta = \frac{\mu+q-r}{\sigma}$, $\frac{dM}{M} = -r dt - \theta dB$, $\frac{dV}{V} = (\mu + q) dt + \sigma dB \Rightarrow \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dV}{V}\right) = -\theta \sigma dt$ and $\therefore (\mu + q - r) dt = -\left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dV}{V}\right)$.

1.5. General asset pricing with multiple assets and sources of risk assuming markets are (dynamically) complete.

$$\text{Max}_c E [u(c)],$$

$$\text{s.t. } E [\tilde{\phi} c] = W(0),$$

where $W(0)$ is some given initial level of wealth; and $u(c)$ is some utility function satisfying some technicalities (i.e., quasiconcave, SI, TI, and bounded). Note that $u'(c) = \theta \tilde{\phi}$ for some constant $\theta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\theta} u'(c) = SDF$. Let R_1, R_2 be returns on portfolio strategies e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{e^{qT} S(T)}{S(0)}, \\ R_2 &= e^{rT}, \end{aligned}$$

where the dividend yield and risk free rate are not necessarily constant.

Claim. Let c be optimal consumption. Then markets are complete and it must be,

$$E [u'(c) R_1] = E [u'(c) R_2].$$

Proof. (b.w.o.c.) Suppose not. W.l.o.g. assume that the direction of improvement in utility is as follows,

$$E [u'(c) (R_1 - R_2)] > 0.$$

Define $c^* = c + \varepsilon \times \underbrace{(R_1 - R_2)}_{\text{zero cost and feasible}}$ for some $c > 0$. Then $u(c^*) = u(c) +$

$u'(\hat{c}) \varepsilon (R_1 - R_2)$ for some \hat{c} between c and c^* by Mean-value theorem. Thus,

$$E [u(c^*)] = E [u(c)] + \varepsilon E [u'(\hat{c}) (R_1 - R_2)] > E [u(c)],$$

for some sufficiently small ε . Set $k = E [u'(c) R_1]$ and $E \left[\frac{1}{k} u'(c) R \right] = 1 \forall R \Rightarrow \frac{1}{k} u'(c) = SDF$. Note that there will be a different SDF for each investor according to their marginal utilities $u'(c)$ and markets are incomplete. \square

Define $M(T) = \frac{1}{k} u'(c)$. Set $Y(T) = M(T)$, $Y(t) = E_t [Y(T)]$, $M(t) = E_t \left[e^{\int_t^T r(s) ds} M(T) \right]$, and $W(T) = c$. Then $W(t) M(t) = E_t [W(T) M(T)]$.

Fact. For any non-dividend paying portfolio with price V , the state deflated process MV is a martingale by martingale representation theorem.

Fact. $(\text{Risk premium on } V) dt = -\left(\frac{dV}{V}\right) \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right)$, and we need wealth to be correlated with the state price deflator.

Suppose n Brownian motions. Then, $\frac{dM}{M} = -r dt - \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i dB_i$, where θ_i can be stochastic. Define $\theta = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2}$ and note that $dB = \frac{\sum_i \theta_i dB_i}{\sqrt{\sum_i \theta_i^2}}$ is a Brownian motion by Levy's theorem (i.e., $(dB)^2 = \frac{\sum \theta^2 dt}{\sum \theta^2} = dt$). Thus for any asset, $\frac{dV}{V} = \text{something } dt + \sum_i \sigma_i dB_i$ and $\text{risk premium} \cdot dt = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \sigma_i$. Also,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \sigma_i}{\sqrt{\sum_i \sigma_i^2}},$$

is Sharpe's ratio. Note that the squared Sharpe's ratio is equal to,

$$\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \sigma_i)^2}{\sum_i \sigma_i^2} \leq \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2) (\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2)}{\sum_i \sigma_i^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2,$$

where θ^2 is Hansen-Jagannathan's lower bound. Recall $\frac{dY}{Y} = -\theta dB$ and assume that $\theta(t)$ depends only on $B(s)$ for some $s \leq t$. As $M(T)W(T)$ depends on $Y(T)$ then $W(T) = c$ must satisfy $\frac{1}{k} u'(W(T)) = Y(T)$. By the martingale representation theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(MW)}{MW} &= \rho dB, \\ \Rightarrow \frac{dM}{M} + \frac{dW}{W} + \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dW}{W}\right) &= \rho dB, \\ \frac{dW}{W} &= \text{something } dt + (\rho + \theta) dB. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $\frac{dW}{W}$ perfectly correlates with dB then we can substitute W with M such that,

$$(1.3) \quad \text{something } dt = -\text{risk premium of } W.$$

and $\frac{dM}{M} = -\theta \frac{dW}{W}$ (by Martingale representation theorem).

Example. Multiple Assets Pricing Formula: The instantaneous CAPM assuming myopic investors and log-normal returns.

Let,

$$\frac{dS_k}{S_k} = \mu_k dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{k,i} dB_i, \forall k = 1, \dots, m, \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n \text{ (independent)}.$$

Given a SDF assume markets are complete ($m \geq n$). Define $M(t) \equiv e^{r(T-t)} E_t [\tilde{\phi}]$, $Y(t) = E_t [\tilde{\phi}]$ is a martingale. That is, by the martingale representation theorem $\Rightarrow \frac{dY}{Y} = -\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i dB_i \Rightarrow \frac{dM}{M} = -r dt - \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i dB_i$. Assume θ_i 's are constant. Then, for a RA with log utility preferences the portfolio choice problem is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max}_c E[\log c], \\ & \text{s.t. } E[\tilde{\phi} c] = W(0), \end{aligned}$$

for some given initial value for wealth. Assuming interior solutions exists the F.O.N.C.s w.r.t. c are,

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{W(0)}{\tilde{\phi}}, \\ M(t)W(t) &= E_t[M(T)W(T)] = W(0), \\ \Rightarrow W(t) &= W(0) e^{rt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2 t + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i B_i(t)}, \text{ by Ito's lemma,} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{dW}{W} &= r dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2 dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i dB_i, \text{ by Ito's lemma.} \end{aligned}$$

Find portfolio π . Thus,

$$\frac{dW}{W} = r dt + \sum_{k=1}^m \pi_k (\mu_k + q_k - r) dt + \sum_{k=1}^m \pi_k \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{k,i} dB_i,$$

$$= rdt + \sum_{k=1}^m \pi_k (\mu_k + q_k - r) dt + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_k \sigma_{k,i} dB_i.$$

$$\text{So } \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_k \sigma_{k,i} = \theta_i, \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m \Leftrightarrow (\sigma_{1,i}, \sigma_{2,i}, \dots, \sigma_{m,i}) \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \pi_m \end{pmatrix} = \theta_i.$$

$$\text{Set } \Sigma^T = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \cdots & \sigma_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{n1} & \cdots & \sigma_{nm} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and solve } \underbrace{\sum_{n \times m}^T}_{n \times m} \underbrace{\pi}_{m \times 1} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_n \end{pmatrix}}_{n \times 1}. \text{ Thus,}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dS_1}{S_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{dS_m}{S_m} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{dS}{S} = \mu dt + \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} dB_1 \\ \vdots \\ dB_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ with variance-covariance matrix}$$

$$\left(\frac{dS}{S}\right) \left(\frac{dS}{S}\right)^T \Leftrightarrow \Sigma (dB) (dB)^T \Sigma^T = \Sigma \Sigma^T dt, \text{ and } \pi = \left(\Sigma \Sigma^T\right)^{-1} \Sigma \theta. \text{ Put}$$

$V_k(t) = e^{q_k t} S_k(t)$. Then, for each k MV_k is a martingale and,

$$\frac{dM}{M} + \frac{dV_k}{V_k} + \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dV_k}{V_k}\right) \text{ has no drift.}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mu_k + q_k) dt = rdt - \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dV_k}{V_k}\right),$$

$$\text{and } \left(\frac{dM}{M}\right) \left(\frac{dV_k}{V_k}\right) = -\theta \left(\frac{dW}{W}\right) \left(\frac{dV_k}{V_k}\right),$$

$$(1.4) \quad \Rightarrow (\mu_k + q_k - r) dt = \text{risk premium } W \times \underbrace{\frac{\left(\frac{dW}{W}\right) \left(\frac{dV_k}{V_k}\right)}{\left(\frac{dW}{W}\right)^2}}_{\frac{\text{cov}(\cdot, W)}{\text{var}(W)}}.$$

instantaneous CAPM

REFERENCES

- [Back (1991) Mathematical Economics]
 [Chamberlain (1987) Econometrica]